

RECEIVED

COMMUNITY POLICE OVERSIGHT BOARD
DALLAS CITY BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS AGENDA

2021 DEC 10 PM 5:12

CITY SECRETARY
DALLAS, TEXAS

TUESDAY, December 14, 2021
5:30 P.M. – 9:30 P.M.
In-person: Room 6ES
City Council Briefing Chambers

The Community Police Oversight Board meeting will be held in person and by videoconference. The meeting will be broadcast live on Spectrum Cable Channel 95 and online at
bit.ly/cityofdallastv

The public may also listen to the meeting as an attendee at the following videoconference link:
<https://dallascityhall.webex.com/dallascityhall/j.php?MTID=m3ddd905245c749622b97e4258c0fedf2>

Access Code: cpob2021
AUDIO PHONE CONFERENCE LINE:
Event line: 408-418-9388 | **Access Code:** 173 243 268

CALL TO ORDER

Public Notice

211081

PUBLIC COMMENT/OPEN MICROPHONE

POSTED CITY SECRETARY
DALLAS, TX

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

1. Approval of the November 9, 2021 Minutes [Board Chairman Enobakhare, Jr.]

Attachment: Minutes

ACTION ITEMS

2.
 - a. Anthony Palumbo Complaint Review & Decision Regarding Additional Investigation by OCPO [OCPO Special Investigator Williams and CPOB Chairman Enobakhare, Jr.]

Attachment: Memo

- b. Update on Board Retreat and Vote on Date, Location and Other Related Items [OCPO Director McClary & CPOB Chairman Enobakhare, Jr.]

Attachments: Memo

A quorum of the City Council may attend this board meeting.

Attachment: Memo & FOX4 Article

BRIEFING ITEMS

3.
 - a. Report on CPOB Chair & OCPO Director Monthly Meeting with the DPD Chief of Police Eddie Garcia [Board Chairman, Enobakhare, Jr. & OCPO Director McClary]

Attachment: Memo

- b. Update on the 2020 Protest Complaints [OCPO Director McClary]**

Attachment: Memo & Protest Cases Excel Sheets

- c. Update on Michael Fowler and Darren Reynolds Investigation Cases
[OCPO Director McClary]

Attachment: Memo

- d. Update on OCPO New Forms: Spanish Complainant Form and DPD Officer Commendation Form [OCPO Director and OCPO Complaint Intake Specialist Woods]

Attachment: Memo

- e. **DPD and Civil Asset Forfeiture Part 1 [OCPO Director McClary]**

Attachment: Memo, D Magazine article, WFAA article & DPD Policy

- #### 4. Monthly Activity Report [OCPO Complaint Intake Specialist Woods]

Attachments: Monthly Activity Report Memo
Monthly Activity Charts
a. OCPO November Complaint Summaries
b. OCPO November Inquiries Summaries

5. Board Training Schedule [Board Chairman Enobakhare, Jr.]

Attachment: Memo

6. Board Member Update on Scheduling Town Hall Meetings [All]

Attachments: Memo

UPCOMING MEETING

7. January 11, 2022

Attachments: Schedule

PUBLIC COMMENT/OPEN MICROPHONE

ADJOURN

A closed executive session may be held if the discussion of any of the above agenda items concerns one of the following:

1. seeking the advice of its attorney about pending or contemplated litigation, settlement offers, or any matter in which the duty of the attorney to the City Council under the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct of the State Bar of Texas clearly conflicts with the Texas Open Meetings Act. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.071]
2. deliberating the purchase, exchange, lease, or value of real property if deliberation in an open meeting would have a detrimental effect on the position of the city in negotiations with a third person. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.072]
3. deliberating a negotiated contract for a prospective gift or donation to the city if deliberation in an open meeting would have a detrimental effect on the position of the city in negotiations with a third person. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.073]
4. deliberating the appointment, employment, evaluation, reassignment, duties, discipline, or dismissal of a public officer or employee; or to hear a complaint or charge against an officer or employee unless the officer or employee who is the subject of the deliberation or hearing requests a public hearing. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.074]
5. deliberating the deployment, or specific occasions for implementation, of security personnel or devices. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.076]
6. discussing or deliberating commercial or financial information that the city has received from a business prospect that the city seeks to have locate, stay or expand in or near the city and with which the city is conducting economic development negotiations; or deliberating the offer of a financial or other incentive to a business prospect. [Tex Govt. Code §551.087]
7. deliberating security assessments or deployments relating to information resources technology, network security information, or the deployment or specific occasions for implementations of security personnel, critical infrastructure, or security devices. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.089]

HANDGUN PROHIBITION NOTICE FOR MEETING OF GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES

"Pursuant to Section 30.06, Penal Code (trespass by license holder with a concealed handgun), a person licensed under Subchapter H, Chapter 411, Government Code (handgun licensing law), may not enter this property with a concealed handgun."

"De acuerdo con la sección 30.06 del código penal (ingreso sin autorización de un titular de una licencia con una pistola oculta), una persona con licencia según el subcapítulo h, capítulo 411, código del gobierno (ley sobre licencias para portar pistolas), no puede ingresar a esta propiedad con una pistola oculta."

"Pursuant to Section 30.07, Penal Code (trespass by license holder with an openly carried handgun), a person licensed under Subchapter H, Chapter 411, Government Code (handgun licensing law), may not enter this property with a handgun that is carried openly."

"De acuerdo con la sección 30.07 del código penal (ingreso sin autorización de un titular de una licencia con una pistola a la vista), una persona con licencia según el subcapítulo h, capítulo 411, código del gobierno (ley sobre licencias para portar pistolas), no puede ingresar a esta propiedad con una pistola a la vista."

Community Police Oversight Board

Meeting Minutes

Agenda Item 1

The Community Police Oversight Board meetings are recorded. Agenda materials and recordings may be reviewed/copied by contacting the Board Coordinator at 214-671-8283.

Meeting Date: November 9, 2021

Convened: 5:54 p.m.

Adjourned: 9:55 p.m.

Board Member(s) Present:

Jesuorobo Enobakhare, Jr., **Chair** – District 3

Jose Rivas, **Vice Chair** – District 7

Ozzie Smith – District 1

Jonathan E Maples – District 2

Loren Gilbert-Smith – District 4

Ronald Wright – District 8

Ezekiel Tyson – District 10

Arlene Steinfield, II – District 11

Deatra Wadsworth – District 12

David Kitner – District 13

Brandon Freedman – District 14

Juan Olivo – District 15

Board Member(s) Absent:

Andre Turner – District 5

Kristian Hernandez – District 6

Tami Brown Rodriguez – District 9

Staff Present:

Casey Burgess, City Attorney's Office

Tonya McClary, Director OCPO

Kevin Williams, Special Investigator OCPO

Taylor Woods, Interim CPOB Coordinator & Complaint Intake Specialist OCPO

AGENDA:

Call to Order: 5:54 p.m.

Public Comment/ Open Microphone

Public comments were received by three speakers.

1. Approval of Meeting Minutes for October 12, 2021 Meeting

A motion was made to approve the minutes from the October 12, 2021 Community Police Oversight Board meeting.

Motion made by David Kitner
Item passed unanimously: X
Item failed unanimously:

Motion seconded by Deatra Wadsworth
Item passed on a divided vote:
Item failed on a divided vote:

2. Action Items

a. Damien Gober Complaint Review & Decision Regarding Additional Investigation by OCPO [OCPO Special Investigator Williams and Board Chairman Enobakhare, Jr.]

Special Investigator Kevin Williams briefed the Board on Damien Gober's complaint review. The Board asked questions and had a discussion on that matter. The Board took a vote to see if they wanted OCPO to do an independent investigation on Mr. Gober's complaint.

A motion was made to do an independent investigation on case number one.
No motion was made.

b. Deralyn White Complaint Review & Decision Regarding Additional Investigation by OCPO [OCPO Special Investigator Williams and Board Chairman Enobakhare, Jr.]

Special Investigator Kevin Williams briefed the Board on Deralyn White's complaint review. The Board asked questions and had a discussion on that matter. The Board took a vote to see if they wanted OCPO to do an independent investigation on Ms. White's complaint.

A motion was made to do an independent investigation that Officers Eric Urquiza #11845 and Jabari Smith #11819 used improper action by improperly detaining Ms. White.

Motion made by Arlene Steinfield
Item passed unanimously:
Item failed unanimously:

Motion seconded by Ronald Wright
Item passed on a divided vote: X
Item failed on a divided vote:

c. Kevin Knight Complaint Review & Decision Regarding Additional Investigation by OCPO [OCPO Special Investigator Williams and Board Chairman Enobakhare, Jr.]

Special Investigator Kevin Williams briefed the Board on Kevin Knight's complaint review. The Board asked questions and had a discussion on that matter. The Board took a vote to see if they wanted OCPO to do an independent investigation on Mr. Knight's complaint.

A motion was made to do an independent investigation on whether or not Officer Pierce racially profiled Mr. Knight.

Motion made by Deatra Wadsworth
Item passed unanimously:
Item failed unanimously:

Motion seconded by Ronald Wright
Item passed on a divided vote: X
Item failed on a divided vote:

d. Follow- up from Michael Fowler September Complaint Report Discussion & Vote on Recommendations [OCPO Director McClary and OCPO Special Investigator Williams]

OCPO Director McClary updated the board on last month's request on Senior Crpl. Dillard's work history. CPOB discussed the recommendations, Dillard's police history and addressed the questions they had to OCPO Director McClary and DPD IAD Lt. Nobles.

A motion was made to send a recommendation to DPD Chief Eddie Garcia to have Senior Crpl. Dillard go through implicit bias training.

Motion made by Juan Olivo
Item passed unanimously: X
Item failed unanimously:

Motion seconded by Jose Rivas
Item passed on a divided vote:
Item failed on a divided vote:

A motion was made to approve the OCPO recommendation that Senior Crpl Dillard receive training in the proper use of the Dallas Police Department's General Orders 901.05 Levels of Control.

Motion made by Juan Olivo
Item passed unanimously: X
Item failed unanimously:

Motion seconded by Jose Rivas
Item passed on a divided vote:
Item failed on a divided vote:

A motion was made to send a recommendation to DPD Chief Eddie Garcia that until Senior Crpl. Dillard completes the recommended trainings, he will not be assigned as a training officer.

Motion made by Ezekiel Tyson
Item passed unanimously: X
Item failed unanimously:

Motion seconded by Juan Olivo
Item passed on a divided vote:
Item failed on a divided vote:

e. Report from the Board Training Subcommittee, Discussion and Vote on Recommendations [Board Training Subcommittee Chair Gilbert Smith & CPOB Chairman Enobakhare, Jr.]

Training Chairperson Loren Gilbert Smith updates the board on the topics that were discussed at the subcommittee meeting.

A motion was made to approve the CPOB retreat format including the addition of Mayor Eric Johnson as the invited guest.

Motion made by David Kitner
Item passed unanimously: X
Item failed unanimously:

Motion seconded by Loren Gilbert Smith
Item passed on a divided vote:
Item failed on a divided vote:

A motion was made to table the vote on the CPOB retreat date until the December Board meeting.

Motion made by David Kitner
Item passed unanimously: X
Item failed unanimously:

Motion seconded by Jose Rivas
Item passed on a divided vote:
Item failed on a divided vote:

f. Discussion and Possible Recommendation on Amending Section Sec 37-35, "Witnesses", of the Dallas City Code [Board Chairman Enobakhare, Jr]

Board Chairman Enobakhare and OCPO Director McClary discussed with the Board, the issues they had getting officers to give a statement to OCPO during the Darren Reynolds case investigation. OCPO would like its investigations to be two sided and not one sided. Sec 37-35 contradicts itself and allows officers to not have to give statements to OCPO when it's conducting investigations.

The Board Chairman referred the issues that were being discussed of compelling officers to appear to the CPOB Policy Subcommittee for further discussion. The subcommittee is to work with OCPO Director McClary and bring back to the full Board recommendations.

3. Briefing Items

a. Report on CPOB Chair & OCPO Director Monthly Meeting with the DPD Chief of Police Eddie Garcia. [Board Chairman, Enobakhare, Jr.]

The meeting had to be rescheduled for the end of the month.

b. Sha-Galia Felder Case. [OCPO Director McClary]

OCPO Director McClary and the CPOB discussed this case and what they would like to see happen until a complaint is filled. CPOB Chairman, Jr. asked Director McClary to review the video and alert the Board if she has any concerns about the incident.

c. OCPO New Forms: Spanish Complaint Form & DPD Officer Commendation Form. [OCPO Director and OCPO Complaint Intake Specialist Woods]

OCPO Director McClary updated the Board on the up and coming Spanish Complaint Form and Officer Commendation Form that will be posted in December.

4. Monthly Activity Report

Complaint Intake Specialist Woods gave updates on complaints and inquiries received by OCPO for the month of October. There were 52 complaints received for the month of October. There were 42 inquiries received for the month of October. Complaint Intake Specialist Woods also updated the Board on all the new things in the complaint section including graphics.

5. Board Training Schedule

November 16th NACOLE Webinar: Investigating and Analyzing Use of Force

6. Board Members Update on Scheduling Town Hall Meetings

Ozzie Smith Dist. 1 – Nothing to Report

Jonathan Maples Dist. 2 – Nothing to Report.

Jesuorobo Enobakhare Dist. 3 – Already hosted his townhall. It was held on July 27th

Loren Gilbert Smith Dist. 4 – Nothing to Report.

Andre Turner Dist. 5 – Already hosted his townhall. It was held on September 7th

Kristian Hernandez Dist. 6 – Nothing to report

Jose Rivas Dist. 7 – Nothing to Report

Rev. Wright Dist. 8 – Nothing to Report

Tami Brown Rodriguez Dist. 9 – Already hosted her townhall. It was held on June 1st with Districts 13 and 14.

Ezekiel Tyson Dist. 10 – Already hosted his townhall. It was held on July 1st

Ejike E. Okpa Dist. 11 – Nothing to report

Deatra Wadsworth Dist. 12 – Nothing to Report

David Kitner Dist. 13 – Already hosted his townhall. It was held on June 1st with Districts 9 and 14.

Alan Marshall Dist. 14 – Already hosted his townhall. It was held on June 1st with Districts 9 and 13.

Juan Olivo Dist. 15 – Nothing to report

7. Upcoming CPOB Meeting

December 14, 2021 at 5:30 p.m.

Public Comment/ Open Microphone

There were no closing public comments.

Motion to Adjourn:

Motion made by Juan Olivo
Item passed unanimously: X
Item failed unanimously:

Motion seconded by David Kitner
Item passed on a divided vote:
Item failed on a divided vote:

Adjourn: 9:55 PM

APPROVED BY:

ATTEST:

Chairman Jesuorobo Enobakhare, Jr.
Community Police Oversight Board Chairman

Taylor Woods
Interim Community Police
Oversight Board Liaison

Memorandum Item 2A



DATE December 14, 2021

TO: Members of the Police Oversight Board

SUBJECT: Anthony Palumbo Complaint Investigation Review Request

Complainant Anthony Palumbo is requesting that the CPOB review his complaint at their December 14, 2021 meeting. In preparation for the Board's discussion, the OCPO Director provided Board members case information regarding Mr. Anthony Palumbo's complaint.

OCPO Special Investigator K.A. Williams will give the Board a brief synopsis of the case. After that, Chairman Enobakhare, Jr. will lead the Board in a discussion about the DPD complaint investigation. The CPOB will be asked to vote on this matter. You will decide if you want OCPO to do an independent investigation or if you do not feel any further action is needed.

Below are basic facts regarding the complaint:

Complainant: Anthony Palumbo

Incident Type: DPD IAD Complaint Investigation

IAD No: NI 2021-873- EC-2021-0958 Related -EC-2021-0958 NI 2021-755

Date Received by IAD: September 13, 2021

Date of Occurrence: July 20, 2021

DPD Investigative Department: Internal Affairs Division

Involved Officers: Unknown

Video Footage: None

Synopsis of Incident: Complainant alleges three plainclothes officers surrounded him and arrested him. They drew their guns while serving him with a misdemeanor warrant, did not read him Miranda rights, and did not announce themselves as police officers.

Complainant Statement:

I, Anthony Palumbo, am submitting the following complaint review form to the office of community police oversight in response to Dallas Police Department Internal Affairs' unsatisfactory discussion that my DPD IAD complaint does not require additional investigation. my attorney Sheridan Lewis advise me to submit the following complaint before pursuing legal action against Dallas Police Department for aggravated assault.

On July 20th, 2021, around 8:00 am Anthony Palumbo exited his gym after a morning workout. Mr. Palumbo was approached at his vehicle while parked in the rear interior parking lot, as Mr. Palumbo open the driver's side door of his vehicle, he heard through his headphones the police commands. Mr. Palumbo turned around to see three unmarked vehicles boxing his vehicle in, more significantly Palumbo witnessed 3 plainclothes policemen all wearing black clothing with exterior and visible bulletproof vests and handguns drawn and point it at Mr. Palumbo.

The policeman arrested Mr. Palumbo without announcing themselves as DPD policemen, without reading Mr. Palumbo has Miranda rights, and without providing reasoning for Mr. Palumbo's arrest other, then saying that he had an outstanding misdemeanor warrant. Palumbo never received the warrant had no reason to suspect it was wanted for any crime.

Complainant's Allegations:

- 1 DPD drew of guns on him while serving a misdemeanor warrant. "I'm a U.S. citizen with no criminal background, no history of violence, aggression, and no weapons".
2. DPD did not read him Miranda rights.
- 3 The DPD officers involved not announce themselves as policemen.
4. He was not told why he was being arrested by DPD.

DPD Internal Affairs Department Summary: IAD determined that no investigation is warranted because there were no DPD policies that had been violated.

Cc: T.C. Broadnax, City Manager

Memorandum Item 2B



CITY OF DALLAS

DATE December 14, 2021

TO Members of the Community Police Oversight Board

SUBJECT Update on CPOB Retreat

Board Training Subcommittee Chairwoman Gilbert-Smith gave a report to the full Board regarding the subcommittee's recommendations for the Board retreat and CPOB Chairman Enobakhare, Jr. lead a full Board discussion and vote on the recommendations at the CPOB November 9th meeting.

At the meeting the Board discussed the two meeting dates: January 22nd or February 5th. The Board voted to wait until the December 14th meeting to decide because they wanted to see the availability of the special invited guests. Below is the requested information:

Name of Invited Guest	Available January 22nd	Available February 5th
Mayor Eric Johnson	No	No
City Manager T.C. Broadnax	Yes	No
DPD Chief Eddie Garcia	Yes	No
Community Police Oversight Coalition Chair Changa Higgins	Yes	Yes

The Board also needs to decide about where it wants to hold the retreat.

Tonya McClary
OCPO Director

Cc: T.C. Broadnax, City Manager

Memorandum Item 2C



CITY OF DALLAS

DATE December 14, 2021

TO Members of the Community Police Oversight Board

SUBJECT UPDATE: Sha-Galia Felder Case

At the November 9th CPOB meeting the Board discussed this case. At the time the Board discussed this case Ms. Sha-Galia Felder had not filed a complaint with DPD as many news stories indicated. As of the date of this memo Ms. Felder still has not filed a complaint with OCPO or DPD.

However, the Board did ask Director McClary to look at the BWC footage and alert them if she had any concerns about the interaction.

At the December 14th meeting, Director will give the Board her opinions of the incident based on her review of the BWC. Based on her comments the Board will decide if it wants to take any further action or instruct Director McClary to take further action.

So that Board members can refresh their memory regarding this case, below is a link to watch the news story. The article is attached to this memo in the appendix.

<https://www.fox4news.com/news/dallas-police-mistakenly-assume-homeowners-are-burglars-family-wants-apology>

Chairman Enobakhare, Jr. will lead the Board in discussion of this incident.

Tonya McClary
OCPO Director

Cc: T.C. Broadnax, City Manager

Appendix 1

FOX 4 News article
Sha-Galia Felder Case

Dallas police mistakenly assume homeowners are burglars; family wants apology
By Shaun Rabb
Published October 26, 2021

Sha-Galia Felder and son Alexander were watching TV and eating a late dinner when he saw flashlights at the front door. It was an unexpected visit from Dallas police.

DALLAS - A mom and her son want answers after Dallas police mistakenly assumed they were burglars and detained them while they were in their house.

Police rushed to the home on Sat. Oct. 16 about 9:45 p.m. after a 911 call from a neighbor who thought a burglary was taking place inside the residence on Menefee Drive in Pleasant Grove.

Sha-Galia Felder and son Alexander were watching TV and eating a late dinner when he saw flashlights at the front door. It was open, but a glass storm door was closed and unlocked.

"I didn't hear them say anything, I just heard banging," Alexander said. He jumped up to see what was going on and saw two officers with guns drawn, one inside the home.

"So I immediately say, 'Whoa, whoa, what's going on? What's going on?' he said. Alexander says he complied with commands and was taken outside.

Sha-Galia says she waited for permission to get up.

"I was like, 'I'm in here, is it okay if I come out?' she said.



Cell phone video from neighbors captured the incident. "They was like, 'Oh no, no, they live here, they live here,'" Alexander said.

When outside, Sha-Galia says she questioned the officers' actions. "I was asking them why were they here. Why are you here and why are your guns drawn? She responded the way he ran to the door made us believe that something was wrong. I was like, 'What was wrong is that you all were banging on the door,'" she said. Dallas police were responding to a 911 call from an area resident who thought the house was being burglarized. The caller talked with Alexander the following day, telling him they had left for dinner and came back and saw the door was still open. They thought someone had broken in and called police.

"I want... an apology," Sha-Galia said. "Whatever happened to knocking on the door? 'Sir, ma'am we got a phone call and we just want to stop and check to make sure everything is okay.' But that's not what happened."

Alexander said that night could have been much worse.

"I want some change," he said. "Miscommunication could have led to somebody being hurt, seriously hurt or killed. I appreciate them responding whole heartedly, but I don't appreciate how they assessed the situation how they handled it was very poor." FOX4 found records of one burglary on the same street in recent days. But at the Felders' home there was no burglary in progress and the family says there was no apology.

Sha-Galia says she has filed an internal affairs complaint online.



Memorandum Item 3A



CITY OF DALLAS

DATE December 14, 2021

TO Members of the Community Police Oversight Board

SUBJECT CPOB & OCPO Monthly Meeting with DPD Police Chief

Every month CPOB Chairman Enobakhare, Jr. and OCPO Director McClary meet with DPD Police Chief Eddie Garcia.

Chairman Enobakhare, Jr. and Director McClary met with Chief Garcia on November 30th and December 14th. Information from those two meetings will be shared with the Board.

Tonya McClary
OCPO Director

Cc: T.C. Broadnax, City Manager

Memorandum Item 3B



CITY OF DALLAS

DATE December 14, 2021

TO Members of the Community Police Oversight Board

SUBJECT UPDATE: 2020 Protest Complaints

OCPO is finally ready to report out on the investigations for the 2020 protests complaints.

The total number of cases that were formally handled by OCPO and DPD was 45. There were many community members that wanted to file complaints with OCPO, but once the decision was made by the City of Dallas that DPD would handle all the complaint investigations many people did not make formal complaints with OCPO or DPD.

Here are the numbers:

1. **Cases handled by OCPO = 20.** All of these cases were administrative in nature. Meaning the complaints only required a letter to the complainant in response to their complaint. There were no independent investigations conducted by OCPO.
2. **Cases handled by Internal Affairs Department (IAD) = 15.** There is a spreadsheet attached as Appendix 1 that gives the status of the cases and outcomes. The spreadsheet is hard to read, so OCPO is trying to obtain a better copy.
3. **Cases handled by Public Integrity Unit (PIU) = 8.** There is a spreadsheet attached as Appendix 2 that gives the status of the case and outcomes.

Director McClary will continue to stay in contact with DPD Chief of Staff, Deputy Chief Monique Alex to see if any of the cases handled by PIU are indicted by the Grand Jury and prosecuted by the DA's Office.

Tonya McClary
OCPO Director

Cc: T.C. Broadnax, City Manager

Appendix 1

Spreadsheet OCPO and IAD Cases

Complaints assigned to e of Community Police

1. No	Date Recd	Date Recd	Involved (Officer(s))	Allegation(s)	Summary	Disposition
N12020-442 6/5/2020-447	6/5/2020	6/1/2020	Unknown	Use of Force	Officer [redacted] was assigned to a patrol car with Officer [redacted] on 6/1/2020. They were stopped at a red light. [redacted] was wanting police reform and submitted a list.	Referred to OCPD Investigative Inquiry Referred to OCPD Referred to OCPD Referred to OCPD Referred to OCPD
N12020-452	6/4/2020		Unknown	Reform	[redacted] who is with Amnesty International, sent an investigation letter into the excessive and violent force by DPD.	Referred to OCPD
N12020-444	6/5/2020	6/1/2020	Unknown	Use of Force	[redacted] calling for the dismissal of officers involved in the incident on Highway 101 bridge and justified a video.	Referred to OCPD
N12020-447	6/5/2020		Unknown	Statement Letter	[redacted] is wanting an explanation of why officers pushed the media down on their stomach when he clearly indicated himself.	Referred to OCPD
N12020-448	6/5/2020		Unknown	Statement Letter	[redacted] stated that he was talking with the officers and they were not listening to him. He said that the police would not answer his questions and that he was not going to stop until he got what he wanted.	Referred to OCPD
N12020-441 6/5/2020-416	6/5/2020	6/1/2020	Unknown	Reform	[redacted] submitting suggestions for a better police department.	Referred to OCPD
N12020-435	6/8/2020		Unknown	Reform	[redacted] stated that the department, led by Chief Tait, was violent and militant and complained is calling for Chief Tait's removal.	Referred to OCPD
N12020-473	6/8/2020	6/1/2020	Unknown	Statement Letter	[redacted] is blaming police for protest violence.	Referred to OCPD
N12020-406	6/8/2020		Unknown	Statement Letter	[redacted] stated the department need to handle the job with humility and not by using unnecessary brutal force on protestors.	Referred to OCPD
N12020-454	6/9/2020		Unknown	Statement Letter	[redacted] expressing support and opinions on the defunding and dismantling of the police agencies.	Referred to OCPD
N12020-128	6/9/2020		Unknown	Reform	[redacted] stated DPD will be the next department eliminated if officers are allowed to continue to violate people's constitutional rights.	Referred to OCPD
N12020-451	6/9/2020		Unknown	Statement Letter	[redacted] stated peaceful protestors, who were confronted and assaulted by officers and he feels force has no place in a peaceful protest.	Referred to OCPD
N12020-514	6/10/2020	5/31/2020	Unknown	Use of Force	[redacted] stated officer blocked the bridge, gave no communication and made her wait inside a wagon where it was a hundred degrees for hours.	Referred to OCPD
N12020-515	6/10/2020	6/1/2020	Unknown	Use of Force	[redacted] submitting ideas regarding police reform.	Referred to OCPD
N12020-513	6/1/2020		Unknown	Reform	[redacted] stated she was on the line for a police officer during a peaceful protest.	Referred to OCPD
N12020-598 6/1/2020-598	6/1/2020	6/1/2020	Unknown	Use of Force	[redacted] stated she was on the line for a police officer during a peaceful protest.	Referred to OCPD

Complaints assigned to e of Community Police

IA No	Date Rec	Date Rec	Involved (Officer(s))	Allegation(s)	Summary	Disposition	Disposition
N12020-453	6/2/20	5/31/20	Unknown	Statement Letter	██████████ is upset because she heard reports that officers are firing live rounds into the crowds of protesters	CPIB	Referred to OC PD 6/16/2020
N12020-467	6/2/20	6/1/20	Unknown	Use of Force	██████████ is submitting a video of an officer on Commerce striking a protester being taken into custody.	CPIB	Referred to OC PD 6/25/2020
N12020-393	6/2/20	6/1/20	Unknown	Use of Force	Unknown Citizen stated the attached video should be investigated.	CPIB	Letter mailed by IAD "Third Party" Complaint
N12020-394	6/2/20	5/31/20		Policy	██████████ is asking questions regarding current policies on deescalation, rights as a bystander, excessive force, relationship building and how she can be of assistance		Letter mailed by IAD "Policy Violation"
N12020-446	6/2/20	6/1/20	Unknown	Use of Force	██████████ submitting videos of excessive police brutality over the weekend.	Southwest Panel D/C	Referred to OC PD 6/16/2020
N12020-449	6/2/20	5/31/20	Unknown	Use of Force	██████████ is submitting video of officers firing teargas into the crowd.	CPIB	Referred to OC PD 6/16/2020
N12020-436	6/2/2020	5/31/2020	Unknown	Statement Letter	██████████ stated protesters are getting paid to riot and start fires		Referred to OC PD 6/16/2020
N12020-438	6/2/2020		Unknown	Policy	██████████ provided a list of policy reforms that need to be implemented		Referred to OC PD 6/16/2020
N12020-439	6/2/2020	5/31/2020	Unknown	Statement Letter	██████████ stated law enforcement had "was cruel, violent and violated the rights of the protester on the Margaret Hunt Hill bridge and must be reeducated."		Referred to OC PD 6/16/2020
N12020-433	6/1/2020	5/31/2020	Unknown	Policy	██████████ is expressing concern regarding the use of body worn cameras and force against protesters		Letter mailed by IAD "Policy Violation"
N12020-421	6/3/2020	5/31/2020	Unknown	Policy	██████████ is asking what the department is doing to ensure officers are not abusing their power and are being held accountable for their actions and provided a list of questions to be answered		Letter mailed by IAD "Policy Violation"
N12020-396	6/3/2020	5/31/2020	Unknown	Policy	██████████ stated the current policies do not protect the citizens and believes new ones need to be created		Letter mailed by IAD "Policy Violation"
N12020-390	6/3/2020		Unknown	Policy	██████████ is asking what the department is doing to ensure officers are not abusing their power and are being held accountable for their actions and provided a list of questions to be answered.		Letter mailed by IAD "Policy Violation"
N12020-387	6/3/2020	5/30/2020	Unknown	Use of Force	██████████ is providing a video link of a officer firing 2 less lethal rounds into a crowd of protesters		Letter mailed by IAD "Third Party" Complaint
N12020-398	6/3/2020		Unknown	Policy	██████████ is asking what the department is doing to ensure officers are not abusing their power and are being held accountable for their actions and provided a list of questions to be answered		Letter mailed by IAD "Policy Violation"
N12020-455	6/3/2020	6/1/2020	Unknown	Statement Letter	██████████ is expressing her opinion regarding the departments action on the bridge		Referred to OC PD 6/16/2020
N12020-476	6/3/2020	5/31/2020	Unknown	Use of Force	██████████ on video and stated DPH opened fire, unprovoked, on a group of protesters		Letter emailed by IAD "Violation" Criminal BWC
N12020-478	6/3/2020	5/30/2020	Unknown	Use of Force	██████████ stated DPH fired rubber bullets and tear gas into a peaceful protest her son attended and then tried to run him over. Complaint stated she will be all of the future needs to get video and badge numbers. (1st Party)		Letter emailed by IAD "Third Party" Complaint
N12020-440	6/3/2020		Unknown	Return	██████████ is wanting policy reform and submitted a list		Referred to OC PD 6/16/2020

Appendix 2

PIU Cases

**Public Integrity Unit
2020 Protest Related Investigations**

Date	Involved Employee	Department	Received From	Allegation	PIU Detective	Nature of Allegation	PIU Case #	Status	Disposition/Date	Current Disposition
6/4/2020	Senior Corporal Melvin Williams, #8870, Senior Corporal Ryan Mabry, #10083, and Police Officer Victor Rocha, #10593	Police	Patrol-Call out	Agg. Assault	Sanchez	It is alleged the officer used excessive force.	2020-043	Closed	Case file turned over to DA's Office on 8/14/20 and placed in vault on 10/5/20.	Grand Jury Hearing Pending
6/4/2020	Senior Corporal Melvin Williams, #8870	Police	Patrol-Call out	Agg. Assault	Johnson	It is alleged the officer used excessive force.	2020-044	Closed	Case file delivered to DA's office 9/8/20 with GJR; placed in vault.	No Billed by Dallas County Grand Jury
6/8/2020	Unknown	Unknown	External	Official Oppression	Lowe	It is alleged unknown individual shot complainant multiple times, unknown weapon. Complainant did not wish to pursue charges.	2020-046	Closed	Placed in vault 7/28/20	
6/9/2020	Unknown	Unknown	RMS	Agg. Assault/Criminal Mischief	McCloud	It is alleged an unknown individual shot a rubber bullet at the complainant's window, which caused injury to her; and damage to her vehicle. Comp did not cooperate.	2020-048	Closed	Placed in vault	
7/15/2020	Unidentified Dallas Officer(s)	Police	IAD	Official Oppression	Sanchez	It is alleged officers used excessive force to conduct an arrest and mistreatment of the complainant by leaving her restrained for several hours. Officers not identified.	2020-056	Closed	Placed in vault 10/23/20	
9/22/2020	Sergeant Roger Rudloff, #7267	Police	IAD	Official Oppression	McCloud	It is alleged the officer used excessive force with a pepperball launcher.	2020-077	Closed	Placed in vault 3/5/21.	No Billed by Dallas County Grand Jury
9/22/2020	Police Officers Yanin Olavarrieta, #11133 & Jacob Holmes, #11028	Police	External	Official Oppression	Barnes	It is alleged the officers used excessive force during an arrest.	2020-079	Closed	Placed in vault 2/10/21.	
11/5/2020	Sr. Cpl. Ryan Mabry, #10083, & Garland PD	Police	Walk-in	Official Oppression	Tolten	It is alleged an unknown officer injured the complainant with a less than lethal round	2020-090	Closed	Placed in vault 8/4/2021.	Grand Jury Hearing Pending

Memorandum Item 3C



CITY OF DALLAS

DATE December 14, 2021

TO Members of the Community Police Oversight Board

SUBJECT UPDATE: Michael Fowler and Darren Reynolds Investigation Cases

The Ordinance requires that after an independent investigation is concluded by OCPO, the Board send it findings, recommendations, commendations, etc. to the DPD Police Chief.

OCPO has sent the investigations for Michael Fowler and Darren Reynolds to Chief Garcia. According to the Ordinance once the Chief has received this correspondence, *Section Sec 37-32.3(b)(D), "Procedures for External Administrative Complaint Review,"* of the Dallas City Code requires the following:

The chief shall provide the board written acknowledgement of receipt of the recommendations.

Chief Garcia responded to the email correspondence from Director McClary letting her know he had gotten the information.

Chief Garcia and Director McClary are discussing ways to make this process more robust. There is a desire from both of them to be able to talk about OCPO's investigations and OCPO talk about DPD's investigations in ways that can hopefully result in the best outcomes for the civilians and the officers. As this process is developed Director McClary will give the Board full details.

Tonya McClary
OCPO Director

Cc: T.C. Broadnax, City Manager

Memorandum Item 3D



CITY OF DALLAS

DATE December 14, 2021

TO Members of the Community Police Oversight Board

SUBJECT UPDATE: NEW Forms To Be Added to The OCPO & CPOB Websites

OCPO Director McClary announced that OCPO will adding two new documents to the OCPO & CPOB websites in December.

There will be a Spanish language Complaint form and a Police Officer Commendation form. These forms were created from direct feedback the Office and Board heard from residents of Dallas.

Taylor Woods, OCPO Complaint Intake Specialist spearheaded the development of these forms. She will give a demonstration of the forms at the December CPOB meeting and notify the Board when the form are live on both websites.

Tonya McClary
OCPO Director

Cc: T.C. Broadnax, City Manager

Memorandum Item 3E



CITY OF DALLAS

DATE December 14, 2021

TO Members of the Community Police Oversight Board

SUBJECT DPD and Civils Assets Forfeiture

On December 2, 2021, the Dallas Police Department made the news when a K9 officer named Ballentine hit on a piece of luggage at the Dallas Love Field Airport. When DPD's interdiction squad seized the luggage and opened it, it contained only blankets and two bubble envelopes of money. The money totaled \$106, 829.

The luggage belonged to a 25-year-old woman from Chicago. She was not arrested or charged with a crime. Several CPOB members reach out to Director McClary to ask questions about the seizure of the money, which prompted CPOB Chairman Enobakhare, Jr. to ask Director McClary to put on the December agenda a discussion regarding civil assets forfeiture.

To help the Board with its discussion, Director McClary reached out to DPD to ask for a copy of their policies regarding civil assets forfeiture. This issue is also codified in state and federal laws making the issue even more complicated.

The hope at the December meeting is just to start the discussion and see what questions Board members have. The goal is hopefully to have someone from DPD and the City Attorney's Office attend the CPOB meeting in January to give the Board a formal presentation on this issue and for the Board to have further discussion at that point.

Attached are two articles about the seizure. Director McClary will send the Board DPD's policies once they have been provided to OCPO.

Tonya McClary
OCPO Director

Cc: T.C. Broadnax, City Manager

Appendix 1

D Magazine Article

**“Dallas Police Steal* \$100,000 from Woman
at Love Field”**

D Magazine Article



Good Boy: It's not Ballentine's fault that his incredible sense of smell may be used by his police handlers to steal people's money
Dallas Police Department Facebook page

Dallas Police Steal* \$100,000 from Woman at Love Field

Texas law allows law enforcement to seize property without providing evidence of wrongdoing. That needs to change.

BY [PETER SIMEK](#) PUBLISHED IN [HEALTH & FITNESS](#) DECEMBER 8, 2021 9:41 AM

Two local TV news outlets, WFAA and CBS11, picked up a story this week that was first reported on the Dallas Police Department's Facebook page. On the surface, it reads like a feel-good story: On December 2, an adorable K9 named Ballentine identified a piece of carry-on luggage at Dallas Love Field Airport that contained \$100,000 in cash. Police seized the money and posted a photo of the dog with stacks of cash laid out on a table. Good boy. Case closed. But not so fast.

According to the reports, the money belonged to a 25-year-old woman from Chicago, who was neither arrested nor charged with a crime after her luggage was seized by

police. So why did the police take the money? Well, according to Texas law, police don't need a reason to take your property so long as they believe it either has been part of a crime or they believe it *will be* involved in a crime. That grants law enforcement sweeping powers to basically seize any property they believe is suspicious, even if they don't have much evidence. The fact that the K9 identified the bag is, in and of itself, not evidence; 90 percent of cash in circulation has been shown to have trace amounts of cocaine.

Texas' generous civil forfeiture laws permit police to seize personal property with only a preponderance of evidence (i.e. a 50 percent threshold of likelihood). Was it unusual that a 25-year-old woman was carrying a bag in the airport that contained only a few blankets and \$100,000 in cash? Sure. Could police convince a judge that there is a 50-50 chance that someone carrying 100K in cash around in an airport may be involved in some sort of criminal activity? Probably. But that isn't the point here. Civil forfeiture laws allow law enforcement to seize property without due process. Police may not have had enough evidence to arrest the woman or charge her with a crime, but they could still take her money. And they did. Meanwhile, law enforcement agencies in Texas are entitled to keep upwards of 90 percent of the property they seize, regardless of whether it is ever found to be connected to a crime.

As the ACLU has pointed out, "aided by deeply flawed federal and state laws, many police departments use forfeiture to benefit their bottom lines, making seizures motivated by profit rather than crime-fighting."

Texas cops make a ton of money thanks to civil forfeiture. Between 2003 and 2012, Texas law enforcement seized \$486 million worth of property. They made \$50 million in 2017 alone. Federal agencies also profit. Last year, the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Texas bragged about claiming \$70 million in forfeiture actions. There is no doubt that much of this property came from people who were legitimately charged or convicted of crimes. But that doesn't change the fact the law creates an incentive for police to take cash from people by simply claiming suspicion.

Back in 2014, HBO funnyman John Oliver attempted to shine the national spotlight on the issue. He pointed out that many people who have had their property wrongfully seized by police cannot afford to fight the legal battle required to win it back. There have also been instances when police ask drivers during routine traffic stops if they are carrying cash, and if they are, they seize the cash. Oliver cites a *Washington Post* report that found that, between 2001 and 2014, \$60 billion in cash had been seized by law enforcement departments from people who were never charged with crimes.

Not much has changed since then. A quick review shows that there have been attempts in nearly every legislative session since 2014 to change Texas' civil forfeiture laws. These efforts never get anywhere. In the last legislative session, a bill that would have tightened some restrictions around civil forfeiture—including making law enforcement show “clear and convincing” evidence for seizure, as opposed only a preponderance of evidence—never made it out of committee.

Which is not surprising. Both Democratic and Republican lawmakers support some form of civil forfeiture reform. For Democrats, it is a criminal justice issue. For Republicans, these laws represent an abuse of personal liberty. But whenever the issue of civil forfeiture comes up in a legislative session, law enforcement—as well as local governments—push back. In 2017, when the issue of civil forfeiture came up once again in the Texas Legislature, Charley Wilkison, executive director of the Combined Law Enforcement Associations of Texas (CLEAT), told the *Texas Observer*: “I would be surprised if you didn’t hear from mayors and city managers and other officials who have to deal with budget issues.”

What Wilkison admits here is that many local governments see civil forfeiture as a way to pad their bottom line. That is frightening. Sure, we may find out that the 25-year-old woman who was carrying \$100,000 in cash was indeed on her way to make some sort of large drug deal. But that doesn’t matter. Regardless of her intent, laws in Texas are written in such a way that local governments have an incentive to seize money without any solid evidence. In other words, if you were flying through Love Field with any amount of cash for any reason, and Ballentine’s ears perked up, police could legally take your money.

Most of these laws date back to the Reagan administration and the 1980s-era War on Drugs. Like so many idiotic and abusive laws that were enacted during the failed War on Drugs, civil forfeiture laws need to change. That’s going to require courage from state lawmakers to stand up to the law enforcement lobby. But it will also require local elected officials to quit tacitly opposing reform and to tell the law enforcement agencies that report to them (at least in theory) to stand down.

**As you know from reading this post, they didn’t technically steal the money since they are legally allowed to take it.*

Appendix 2

WFAA Article

**“K-9 officer helps officials seize over \$100K at
Dallas Love Field”**

WFAA Article

K-9 officer helps officials seize over \$100K at Dallas Love Field

The suitcase contained only blankets and two bubble envelopes with the money, Dallas police say.



Credit: Dallas Police Department

Author: Lourdes Vazquez (WFAA)

Published: 4:52 PM CST December 6, 2021

Updated: 4:52 PM CST December 6, 2021

DALLAS — A Dallas Love Field K-9 officer is being praised after sniffing out a suitcase full of money late last week.

Dallas Police posted on their Facebook page about K-9 Ballentine's latest efforts that helped the department's interdiction squad seize \$106,829.

On Dec. 2, police said Ballentine alerted fellow officers to a checked-in bag at Dallas Love Field. The suitcase contained only blankets and two large bubble envelopes with the money.

The owner of the suitcase, a 25-year-old woman from Chicago, was not arrested. WFAA asked Dallas police for more details, but they did not elaborate further on the case because it is still under investigation.

This is not the first time K-9 Ballentine's nose has helped in cracking cases. The K-9 officer also was instrumental in the seizure of 6.5 pounds of marijuana in late September. Days prior to that seizure, he found 42 pounds of marijuana being transported through Dallas Love Field.

Ballentine is a canine with the Dallas Love Field Interdiction squad. The unit is part of the Dallas Police Department's narcotics division.

Memorandum 4



CITY OF DALLAS

DATE December 14, 2021

TO Members of the Community Police Oversight Board

SUBJECT **Office of Community Police Oversight November 2021 Report**

Attached you will find the November monthly complaint statistical report from the Office of Community Police Oversight (OCPO). This report provides a summation of the total number of external complaints turned into the OCPO and IAD, the source of the complaints, and the disposition of the complaints. Also attached is an external Complaint Workflow Process diagram and general definition document that defines categories for no investigation which are listed as “No Investigation” on the monthly reports.

Attached are also summaries of the complaints and inquiries received by OCPO in November.

The external complaints for December are in the review process and will be provided once this information has been completed.

Please do not hesitate to reach out should you have any questions or concerns.

Tonya McClary
OCPO Director

cc: T.C. Broadnax, City Manager

External Administrative Complaints Received as of 12/2/2021 for Fiscal Year 2021-2022

External Administrative Complaints Received as of 12/2/2021																								
Total External Complaints by Source	Oct		Nov		Dec		Jan		Feb		March		April		May		June		July		Aug		Sept	
	DPD	OCPO	DPD	OCPO	DPD	OCPO	DPD	OCPO	DPD	OCPO	DPD	OCPO	DPD	OCPO	DPD	OCPO	DPD	OCPO	DPD	OCPO	DPD	OCPO	DPD	OCPO
DPD Total	56	0	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
External Email	31		20																					
External Fax																								
External Letter	7		2																					
External Telephone	1		5																					
External Online Form																								
External Walk-in DPD	17		17																					
OCPO Total	34	52	31	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
External Email OCPO	11	6	9	10																				
External Fax OCPO																								
External Letter OCPO																								
External Telephone OCPO																								
External Online Form OCPO	23	46	22	27																				
External Walk-in OCPO																								
Grand Total	90	52	75	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
External Complaints Processed by Internal Affairs as of 12/2/2021																								
Divisional Investigations with Category	11	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Discourtesy or Unprofessionalism	4		3																					
Fail to Complete Reports																								
Improper Action	7		7																					
Improper Comments																								
Improper or No Investigation			2																					
Internal Affairs Investigations and Category	5	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Abuse of Authority																								
Adverse Conduct			1																					
Dispatch/911 Violation																								
Discourtesy to Other Employees																								
Failed to Complete Report on Time																								
Failed to Secure Property	1																							
Harassment	1		1																					
Improper or False Arrest																								
Improper or No Investigation																								
Improper Release of Information																								
Incomplete or Erroneous Report																								
Inquiry																								
Lost/Damaged Citizen Property																								
Mistreatment of Citizen	1																							
Placed Citizen in Danger																								
Racial Profiling																								
Use of Force	1																							
Improper Action or Comments	1		2																					
Complaints Referred to the Public Integrity Unit	1		0																					
No Investigation Conducted and Reason	74	0	59	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Did not meet criteria			1																					
Duplicate Complaint	1		2																					
Fail to Articulate	5		6																					
Guilt or Innocence	5																							
Information Only	5		7																					
More Information	5		7																					
Need Signature																								
No Violation	14		6																					
No Violation BWC	11		12																					
Non Employee	20		6																					
Other (Outside Agency)	1																							
Possible																								
Sixty Day	4		5																					
Third Party	2		2																					
Unknown Officer																								
OCPO Investigation	1																							
Recent EC's under review (as of 12/2/2021)			5																					
Grand Totals	90	0	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

The Internal Affairs Division calculates monthly complaints by the received date, and not by the number of forms submitted regarding a complaint. OCPO complaint data was received by OCPO personnel who may have differing counting methods. *Data pulled from IAPRO as of 12/2/2021. The data for October of 2021 was re-verified for accuracy.



Office of Community Police Oversight Complaints

Item 4A

November 2021 Complaints

Below are summaries of the complaints received in the Office of Community Police Oversight for the weeks of November 1, 2021 to November 30, 2021.

This report captures complaints during the OCPO fiscal year. The fiscal year for OCPO is October 1, 2021 to September 30, 2022. The office has received 37 complaints as of November 30, 2021. A total of 89 complaints has been received for the year.

11/1/2021 EC2021-1113 Black, M Council District: 3	Complainant stated he was arrested by DPD during an episode and said his shoulder could have been broken by the use of force. This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be a No Investigation. This case was cleared by BWC. <i>Reason for Question: Director McClary wanted to watch the BWC to determine for herself if she had any concerns about how the complainant had been treated during the incident.</i>
11/2/2021 EC2021-1118 Hispanic, M Council District: 2	Complainant stated he was kicked out of a bar and when police arrived, they didn't ask enough questions. This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be sent as a Division Referral. This case was sent to Central Division.
11/3/2021 EC2021-1137 Black, F Council District: 7	Complainant felt the person that sexually assaulted her got away because Officer Buchanan put in the wrong address. She felt it was done on purpose to help her attacker. This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be a No Investigation.
11/5/2021 EC2021-1120 Black, M Council District: 4	Complainant stated after multiple attempts to get information on his case Detective Brown was nonchalant and acted like he didn't care. This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be sent as a Division Referral. This case was sent to the Assaults Division.
11/5/2021 EC2021-1121 Black, F Council District: 2	Complainant stated Officer Dominguez took a report of her getting threatened. Officer Dominguez would not help her get in a safe environment on 4/5/2021 when she reported getting stalked. This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be a NO Investigation. The complaint was filed after the 60-day cutoff for DPD to review complaints.
11/6/2021 EC2021-1122 White, F Council District: 2	Complainant was very upset with how construction workers are being too loud. They start early, end late, and block the street so their trash can't be removed. She expects the fees to break her lease and move in a new home to be paid. This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be a No Investigation because not a complaint against a DPD officer. This case was sent as an FYI to code compliance.



Office of Community Police Oversight Complaints

Item 4A

11/08/2021 EC2021-1166 Hispanic, M Council District: 2	<p>Complainant stated he feels Detective Limbaugh should be reprimanded for taking his car and phone with no cause while his brother was in the hospital for being shot. This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be a No Investigation. There was no Policy Violation.</p> <p><i>McClary Questioned Reasoning: Director McClary was concerned about how DPD got information from the complainant's brother regarding this case to be able to seize his items.</i></p>
11/09/2021 EC2021-1138 Black, M Council District: 7	<p>Complainant stated he was shot in the leg and gave DPD all necessary information to apprehend the person who did it and 3 months later nothing has been done. This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be a No Investigation. There was No Policy Violation</p>
11/09/2021 EC2021-1141 Black, M Council District: 2	<p>Complainant stated he is being harassed by DPD, City Employees, and normal civilians. He is homeless and lives in his truck and is being followed. This complaint was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be a No Investigation. The complaint was filed after the 60-day cutoff for DPD to review complaints.</p>
11/09/2021 EC2021-1139 Black, M Council District: 7	<p>Complainant stated that the police report that was written is completely wrong and he had to go get video footage to prove it. This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be a No Investigation. The complaint was filed after the 60 days cutoff for DPD to review complaints.</p>
11/10/2021 EC2021-1140 White, M Council District: 9	<p>Complainant stated that DPD was called out due to an altercation he had in his home. He was later handcuffed tightly and held in a detention center without water and food. This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be sent as a Division Referral. This case was sent to the Northeast Division.</p>
11/10/2021 EC2021-1142 (x3) Black, F Council District: 2	<p>Complainant stated that when she went into DPD headquarters to meet with a detective about her case the officer at the front window was rude and told her she was mental. This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be sent as a Division Referral. This case will be sent to Facility Management.</p>
11/11/2021 EC2021-1137 Black, F Council District: 7	<p>Complainant stated that she called DPD for help and was not assisted in a way she feels she should have. This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be a No Investigation. There was No Policy Violation.</p>
11/12/2021 EC2021-1144 Black, M Council District: 3	<p>Complainant stated that he was stopped by DPD at gun point when they were looking for someone else. This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be a No Investigation. This case was cleared by BWC.</p> <p><i>McClary questioned reasoning: Director McClary wanted to watch the BWC to determine for herself if she had any concerns about the incident.</i></p>



Office of Community Police Oversight Complaints

Item 4A

11/14/2021 EC2021-1147 Black, F Council District: 2	Complainant stated that after she told a DPD officer how she was being sexually assaulted the officer started praying for her and now she feels the report is not being taken seriously. <i>This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be a No Investigation. More information is needed from complainant.</i>
11/14/2021 EC2021-1146 Black, F Council District: 2	Complainant stated that she called for the Chief of Police and got the "Secretary of Police" and when she tried to describe what happened to her the person hung up in her face. <i>This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be a No Investigation. More information is needed from complainant.</i>
11/14/2021 EC2021-1145 Black, F Council District: 11	Complainant stated that DPD broke her tv stand and when she tried to talk to a higher up and they hung up in her face. <i>This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be staying with IAD as an Admin Inquiry.</i> <i>McClary questioned reasoning: Director McClary wanted to watch the BWC to determine for herself if she had any concerns about the incident.</i>
11/15/2021 EC2021-1143 White, M Council District: 8	Complainant stated that over the weekend a DPD officer did nothing to stop terrible harassment at a SMU game. <i>This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be a No Investigation. This was not a DPD officer.</i>
11/18/2021 EC2021-1160 Black, F Council District: 11	Complainant stated the DPD officer that did her crash report wrote it in favor of the other party. <i>This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be a No Investigation. This case was cleared by BWC.</i>
11/19/2021 EC2021-1169 Unknown, Unknown Council District: Not Dallas	Complainant stated they needed help to file a complaint because Officer William Lang was stalking them. <i>This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be a No Investigation. This is not a DPD officer.</i>
11/21/2021 EC2021-1167 Unknown, Female Council District: 6	Complainant wanted to know why we allow off duty officers to work at Cowboys Red River. <i>This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be sent as a Division Referral. This complaint was sent to the Northwest Division.</i>
11/21/2021 EC2021-1170 Unknown, F Council District: 6	Complainant stated her daughter was in town and had to call 911 for an incident and had to wait over 5 hours for police. Her daughter's laptop was stolen, and they tried to retrieve it themselves because police wouldn't help. <i>This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be sent as a Division Referral. This complaint was sent to the Communications Department.</i>



Office of Community Police Oversight Complaints

Item 4A

11/22/2021 EC2021-1170 Unknown, F Council District: 6	Complainant stated her daughter was in town and had to call 911 for an incident and had to wait over 5 hours for police. Her Daughters laptop was stolen, and they tried to receive it themselves because police wouldn't help. She feels this is why trust is broken between police and citizens. This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be sent as a Division Referral. This compliant was sent to the Communications Department.
11/22/2021 EC2021-1168 Unknown, F Council District: Unknown	Complainant stated, "Some of us very familiar with police helicopter stalking". This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be a No Investigation. There was no complaint articulated against a DPD officer.
11/22/2021 EC2021-1181 White, F Council District: 14	Complainant felt that officers who responded to her domestic violence call did not properly investigate and her injuries were ignored. This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be a No Investigation. This case was cleared by BWC.
11/24/2021 EC2021-1182 White, M Council District: 4	Complainant stated, "I have been having issues still with Dallas police undercover officers' part of the brain interface computer assignment". This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be a No Investigation. More Information was needed for this complaint.
11/24/2021 EC2021-1180 Unknown, F Council District: Not Dallas	Complainant stated that "Officers Nuru Witherspoon, Ronald Witherspoon, and Kelly Roland" needs to stay away from her (she stated she hasn't heard from them in years). This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be a No Investigation. The people listed are not DPD officers.
11/25/2021 EC2021-1183 Black, M Council District: 2	Complainant stated he called the police because he believed the neighbor hit his car and kept going. He said when DPD arrived they spoke with the neighbor first and arrested the complainant on a warrant from Louisiana. This case was reviewed by IAD and will be a No Investigation. This case was cleared by BWC.
11/26/2021 EC2021-1182 White, M Council District: 4	Complainant stated "The Dallas police undercover officers are continuing to make my harassment worse even last weekend by using it to throw me out of a chair while I was asleep and putting snakes in my bag". This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be a No Investigation. More information was needed.
11/28/2021 EC2021-1184 Black, M Council District: 14	Complainant stated that back in 2018 he was rear-ended by a jeep with 2 white men on the inside. They called the police and lied stating the complainant tried to shoot them and he was arrested. This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be a No Investigation. The complaint was filed after the 60 days cutoff for DPD to review complaints.
11/28/2021 EC2021-1179 Unknown, F Council District: Unknown	Complainant wants "DPD officer to stop stealing from Shannon when she's asleep she doesn't do drugs and she doesn't steal". This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be a No Investigation. More information is needed.



Office of Community Police Oversight Complaints

Item 4A

11/30/2021 EC2021-1195 Unknown, F Council District: Not Dallas	Complainant stated officers in Tomball, TX harassed and tormented a little kid in a bowling alley. This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be a No Investigation. This is not a complaint about a DPD officer.
11/30/2021 EC2021-1194 White, M Council District: 4	Complainant stated that Officer Guzman was rude when complainant tried to find a safe way out after some homes caught on fire. This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be a No Investigation. This case was cleared by BWC.
11/30/2021 EC2021-1198 Unknown, M Council District: 14	Complainant stated that an officer scared him and his family by cursing and screaming at him. This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be sent as a Division Referral. This case was sent to the Central Division.
11/30/2021 EC2021-1197 Unknown, M Council District: 14	Complainant wants to make us aware of issues he's been having with DPD and their response time. This case was reviewed by IAD and OCPO and will be a No Investigation. This case was sent as an FYI to Communications.



Office of Community Police Oversight Complaints

Item 4A

Below is a list of complaints that were received by IAD that Ms. McClary either disagreed with or had a question about


Meeting Date: 11/17/21 EC2021-1133 McClary Disagreed	<p>Complainant called in to the Northeast Division to complain on Officer Domingo Rivera and spoke with Sgt. Wingfield. The Sergeant watched his BWC and found no departmental violations.</p> <p>McClary disagreement reasoning: Ms. McClary thought the officer could have done a better job with the interaction.</p>
Meeting Date: 11/24/2021 EC2021-1148 McClary Questioned	<p>Complainant alleges he was arrested for simply speaking to a female at a motel. He claimed the officer was on a power trip and could handle rejection. This case was cleared as a No Investigation through BWC.</p> <p>McClary questioned reasoning: Director McClary wanted to watch the BWC to determine for herself if she had any concerns about the incident.</p>
Meeting Date: 12/1/2021 EC2021-1177 McClary Questioned	<p>Complainant believes officer was over aggressive and rude. This case was cleared as a No Investigation. Guilt or Innocence.</p> <p>McClary questioned reasoning: Director McClary wanted to review DPD policy regarding several issues including who handles the scene at an incident and discretionary citations.</p>



Office of Community Police Oversight Complaints

Item 4A

Complaints By District






Office of Community Police Oversight Complaints

Item 4A

Complaints By Gender






Office of Community Police Oversight Complaints

Item 4A

Complaints by Race





Office of Community Police Oversight Complaints

Item 4B

November 2021 Inquiries

Below are summaries of the inquiries received in the Office of Community Police Oversight for the weeks of November 1, 2021 to November 30, 2021.

This report captures inquiries during the OCPO fiscal year. The fiscal year for OCPO is October 1, 2021 to September 30, 2022. The office has received 28 inquiries as of November 30, 2021. A total of 70 inquiries received for the year.

11/1/2021	Individual wanted to file a complaint. OCPO sent her a complaint form and is still waiting for it back.
11/1/2021	Individual wanted to file a complaint. OCPO sent her a complaint form and is still waiting for it back.
11/2/2021	Individual wanted to know what the noise ordinance is for her neighborhood because the recycle people are too loud at 4am. OCPO gave her the number to talk to Code Compliance.
11/2/2021	Complainant stated that he was shot in the leg in July and the detective told him they are waiting for the person that did it to slip up before they would arrest him. OCPO sent him a complaint form and received it a week later.
11/2/2021	Individual is a Spanish speaker who needed to file a police report because her car was broken into and money was stolen out of it. OCPO showed her how to file a police report online.
11/2/2021	Individual was trying to find a police report. OCPO informed them to submit an Open Records Request.
11/2/2021	Individual called for help for her friend who is being harassed by a man who also broke into her home. OCPO informed her of the steps her friend could take and explained to her how our department works and how to file a complaint.
11/2/2021	Individual stated his business was broken into and an expensive tool was stolen and DPD isn't doing anything about it. OCPO sent him a complaint form.
11/3/2021	Individual stated someone stole a package from their office front door and they want to file a police report. OCPO showed her how to file a police report.
11/4/2021	Individual wanted to file a complaint. OCPO sent her a complaint form and it was received a few days later.



Office of Community Police Oversight Complaints

Item 4B

11/4/2021	Individual wanted to file a complaint. OCPO sent him a complaint form and was received the next day.
11/6/2021	Individual filed a complaint and was unhappy with the result, so she sent a Letter of Intent to sue the Dallas Police Department. Director McClary handled this inquiry.
11/8/2021	Individual wanted to follow up on a complaint he submitted Nov 2 nd . OCPO informed him his case will be briefed the next day and that he could call back after 2:00pm for the result of his complaint.
11/9/2021	Individual stated they have someone in their custody that may have pending charges in Dallas and she wanted to verify. OCPO gave them the number to the Dallas Police Headquarters.
11/9/2021	Individual stated DPD has been unhelpful with retrieving his vehicle. OCPO sent him a complaint form and is still waiting for it to be submitted.
11/15/2021	Individual wanted to know where his nearest police department was so he could file a complaint on an officer. OCPO emailed him a link to our website so he could file the complaint online. He was also given to the division near his home.
11/15/2021	Individual says he is being harassed and followed by someone he believes is DPD and he just wants to go back to California and needs gas money. OCPO informed him he we be going over his complaint soon and would try to help the best we can.
11/16/2021	Individual stated he was robbed, and police had the suspect and let him go and said complainant missed the lineup. OCPO informed him of ways to file his complaint and are still waiting to receive it.
11/16/2021	Individual called OCPO to follow up on her complaint. OCPO updated her on her complaint and gave her a person to contact to get her questions answered.
11/16/2021	Individual called from jail stating that the "Feds put a chip in him". OCPO informed him that the office only took complaints involving City of Dallas police officers.
11/19/2021	Individual wanted to do a welfare check on his granddaughter. OCPO gave him the number to the police department so he could request a welfare check.
11/19/2021	Individual stated he was robbed police had the suspect go because he didn't go to the lineup. Complainant stated that he never was informed of an update. OCPO is waiting to receive a complaint.
11/22/2021	Complainant called to follow-up on his complaint.
11/23/2021	Complainant called 2 times to follow-up on his complaint.



Office of Community Police Oversight Complaints

Item 4B


11/29/2021	Individual wanted to file a complaint on a Bartlett, TX Police Chief because her husband was stopped for throwing trash in a private trashcan. They searched the vehicle and was given a ticket for living in a RV. She stated no one would answer her calls and explain anything to her. OCPO informed her we could only take complaints against City of Dallas police officers but reached out to Bartlett police and spoke with the Police Chief and offered assistance.
11/30/2021	Individual tried to call the police department to file a police report. He was robbed on Thanksgiving. OCPO showed him how to file a report online but suggested he call DPD and gave him the non-emergency number.
11/30/2021	Individual called from Grand Prairie police because they are doing an investigation on a complaint that they believed the Board had briefed. OCPO gave him the Director McClary's information to discuss that situation with her.




Office of Community Police Oversight Complaints

Item 4B

Series 1



External Complaint Workflow Process



External Complaint Workflow

No Investigation Sub-Classification General Definitions

It is the policy of the Department to accept and investigate all complaints of misconduct or wrongdoing from any citizen as prescribed by state law and Department policy. Complaints are handled in accordance with Texas Government Code, Section 614.021-614.023, as interpreted by the City Attorney.

A No Investigation (NI) number is assigned to information received in the Internal Affairs Division that does not meet the guidelines of a complaint requiring a full investigation by the Department. The information is given a sub-classification for statistical tracking purposes. The current sub-classifications used are:

- **Does not meet criteria-** Complaints relative to differences of opinion between a citizen and the investigating officer regarding the contributing factors listed on an accident report will not be investigated. If a person calls or comes in but does not want to provide a written statement at that time, it will be entered. If they fail to follow up and provide a written complaint in any format, it may result in this sub-classification.
- **Duplicate Complaint-** Person is making a repeated allegation that has already been handled by the department.
- **Fail to Articulate-** Person may be complaining but does not make an allegation of misconduct.
- **Guilt or Innocence-**
 - Complaints relative to differences of opinion between a citizen and an arresting police officer regarding the guilt or innocence of that citizen will not be investigated but will be properly disposed of within the judicial system. If a citizen can furnish evidence that the arrest was malicious and/or illegal, the complaint may be investigated at the discretion of the Internal Affairs Division Commander.
 - Complaints relative to differences of opinion between a police officer and a citizen over the issuance of a traffic citation will not be investigated unless there is an allegation of a violation of law or departmental rules on the part of the officer.
- **Information Only-** A person may just be sending information or needing something from a member of the department. For instance, needing a detective to call them back about a case. A complaint about having to wait a long time for a police response to a 911 call may receive this sub-classification. Information is forwarded to division of responsibility.
- **More Information-** Person makes an allegation of misconduct, but the department needs more information to make determination on how to proceed.

- **No Violation-** Preliminary investigation is able to determine, based on evidence available, there is no violation of department procedures. Complaints involving a citizen's misunderstanding of departmental policy, which are resolved by a supervisor explaining the correct departmental policy and where the citizen is satisfied with the response, will not require investigation.
- **No Violation BWC-** Preliminary investigation is able to determine there is no violation due to review of officer's body worn camera.
- **Non-Employee-** Person makes allegation into misconduct of person who is not an employee of the police department.
- **Sixty Day-** Complaints are not typically accepted more than sixty days after the alleged incident, with the following exceptions:
 - When the complaint involves a criminal violation, the criminal statute of limitations will prevail.
 - When the complainant can show good cause for not making the complaint within the specified time limit.
- **Third Party-** Person complaining has no direct knowledge of incident. Often used when person sends an email or letter after seeing a negative news or social media story.

In all case, the citizen is sent a return letter to inform them that the information or complaint has been received and how it will be handled. If the department is not going to investigate, the reason is given with contact information on who they may call to discuss.

Memorandum Item 5



CITY OF DALLAS

DATE December 14, 2021

TO Members of the Community Police Oversight Board

SUBJECT 2021 Training Schedule for the Board

The CPOB is not only committed to community engagement, it is also committed to continued learning in the areas of oversight, policing, criminal justice and any other topics the Board deems relevant to its work.

Below is the CPOB Training Schedule for 2021.

2021 CPOB Training Calendar

January

- 27th = NACOLE Analyzing and Reporting Use of Force Statistics (1 ½ hours)

February

- 23rd = NACOLE Civilian Oversight of police Surveillance Technology (1 ½ hours)

March

- 3rd = NACOLE Death Anxiety and Police Culture (1 ½ hours)

April

- 6th = NACOLE Investigation and Systemic Review of Police Responses to Large-scale Protests (1 ½ hours)
- 13th = OCPO Board training: “How Can Civilian Oversight of Law Enforcement Help You?” (45 minutes)

May

- 11th = OCPO Board Training: “When Communities Try to hold Police Accountable, Law Enforcement Fights Back” (45 minutes)
- 18th = NACOLE National Initiative for Building Community Trust and Justice (1 ½ hours)

June

- 8th = OCPO Board Training: Community-Police engagement: *“Improved Outcomes in Racially Charged Police Encounters: Making the Case for Decision-Based Training”* (30 minutes)
- 9th = NACOLE Role of the First-Line Supervisor in Facilitating Change in Law Enforcement Organizations (1 ½ hours)

July

- No Board Trainings Scheduled

August

- 4th = Cognificant Learning & Toby Groves Productions: Accountability and Transparency in Law Enforcement: After Action Review (4 hours)
- 10th = OCPO Board Training: NACOLE Report *“The Evolution and Growth of Civilian Oversight: Key Principles and Practices for Effectiveness and Sustainability”* (45 minutes)
- 16th = NACOLE Annual Virtual Conference: Civilian Oversight As A Permanent Part of Public Safety (1 ½ hours)
- 17th = NACOLE Annual Virtual Conference: Moment or Movement: The Case for Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion in Law Enforcement (1 ½ hours)
- 18th = NACOLE Annual Virtual Conference: Recent Legislative Wins and Losses on Officer Decertification (1½ hours)
- 19th = NACOLE Annual Virtual Conference: The Duty to Intervene After “I Can’t Breathe” (1½ hours)
- 20th = NACOLE Annual Virtual Conference: Infiltration of White Supremacy in Law Enforcement (1½ hours)
- 24th = NACOLE Annual Virtual Conference: There’s a New Sheriff in Town: Civilian Oversight
- 25th = NACOLE Annual Virtual Conference: Clippers & Cops (1½ hours)
- 26th = NACOLE Annual Virtual Conference: Effective Oversight Through Innovations in Technology (1½ hours)
- 27th = NACOLE Annual Virtual Conference: Six Durations of a Split Second: The Killing of Harith Augustus (1½ hours)
- 30th = NACOLE Annual Virtual Conference: Maintaining Enthusiasm for Oversight in the Face of Resistance and Setbacks (1½ hours)
- 31st = NACOLE Annual Virtual Conference: Oversight Commissions and Boards: How Member Selection Criteria and Processes Can Impact Effectiveness (1½ hours)

September

- 1st = NACOLE Annual Virtual Conference: Oversight of Chicago's Police Disciplinary System (1½ hours)
- 2nd = NACOLE Annual Virtual Conference: Proactive Compliance: Ensuring Police Directives Are Aligned with Legal Standards & Best Practices (1½ hours)
- 10th = NACOLE Annual Virtual Conference: The Independent Critical Incident Investigation Agency: A New Form of Oversight for the U.S. (1½ hours)
- 24th = NACOLE Annual Virtual Conference: Mental Health Assistants: Compassion, Opportunities, and Partnerships (1½ hours)
- 27th = NACOLE Annual Virtual Conference: Lethal Force: Women and Children as Collateral Damage (1½ hours)
- 28th = NACOLE Annual Virtual Conference: Challenges of Arbitration Within Oversight and Accountability (1½ hours)
- 29th = NACOLE Annual Virtual Conference: Legal Updates (1½ hours)
- 30th = NACOLE Annual Virtual Conference: From Data Analysis to Policy Recommendation (1½ hours)

October

- 1st = NACOLE Annual Virtual Conference: Civilian Oversight and the LGBTQIA+ Community (1½ hours)
- 4th = NACOLE Annual Virtual Conference: Money, Money, Money: Where Does All the Police Funding Go? (1½ hours)
- 5th = NACOLE Annual Virtual Conference: Towards Racial Equity, Part I (1½ hours)
- 6th = NACOLE Annual Virtual Conference: Towards Racial Equity, Part II (1½ hours)
- 7th = NACOLE Annual Virtual Conference: Civilian Oversight and Its Role in Reform (1½ hours)
- 13th = NACOLE webinar: Accountability & Transparency in Law Enforcement - After Action Review (4 hours)
- 20th = The creators of the KQED and NPR podcast *On Our Watch*: Understanding Police Misconduct Records with "On Our Watch"

November

- 16th = NACOLE webinar: Investigating and Analyzing Use of Force (1½ hours)

December

- No Board Trainings Scheduled

Looking Forward 2022

1. CPOB Retreat January 22nd or February 5th
2. January 29th = The Changing Landscape of Civilian Oversight in Texas: A Virtual Civilian Oversight Law Enforcement Panel Discussion

Tonya McClary
OCPO Director

Cc: T.C. Broadnax, City Manager

You are invited to attend

The Changing Landscape of Civilian Oversight in Texas

A Virtual Civilian Oversight Law Enforcement Panel Discussion

Join us to hear the successes and challenges civilian oversight practitioners are managing throughout the state of Texas. Learn how four large cities are planting seeds of change and accountability in law enforcement.

Saturday, Jan. 29, 2022
10 a.m. - 12 noon
WebEx

Visit www.FortWorthTexas.gov/OPOM to register to attend and view additional information about our panelists.

Special Guest Moderator:



Cameron McElhiney,
Director of Training and
Education for NACOLE

Who should attend?

- Academics
- Community members
- Elected officials
- Journalists
- Law enforcement officials

Panelists:



Tonya McClary,
Director/Police Monitor,
Office of Community Police
Oversight, Dallas



Crystal Okorafor,
Deputy Inspector General,
Office of Policing Reform
and Accountability,
Houston



Anni-Michele Evans,
Policy Compliance
Consultant, Office of Police
Oversight, Austin



Kim Neal,
Director/Police Monitor,
Office of Police Monitor,
Fort Worth

Hosted by the City of Fort Worth Office of Police Oversight Monitor



Memorandum Item 6



CITY OF DALLAS

DATE December 14, 2021

TO Members of the Community Police Oversight Board

SUBJECT Board Member Update on Scheduling Town Hall Meetings

Board members will provide an update on their efforts to schedule a town hall meeting in their district.

The following CPOB town hall meetings have already been held:

1. Districts 9, 13 and 14 (Joint town hall meeting) = June 1, 2021
2. District 10 = July 1, 2021
3. District 3 = July 27, 2021
4. District 5 = September 7, 2021
5. District 7 = October 30, 2021 in partnership with DISD and Women of Torque

Cc: T.C. Broadnax, City Manager



City of Dallas

**Community Police Oversight
Board (CPOB) 2022 Schedule**

City Hall
1500 Marilla Street
City Council Chambers, 6EN
Dallas, Texas 75201

Item 7

Community Police Oversight Board meetings are held every 2nd Tuesday of each month, unless noted otherwise. Meetings are held at Dallas City Hall, 1500 Marilla, City Council Chambers (6EN), 6ES or virtually. Meetings normally begin at 5:30p.m. unless noted otherwise.

- January 11, 2021 – City Hall and Video Conference at 5:30 p.m.
- February 8, 2021 – City Hall and Video Conference at 5:30 p.m.
- March 8, 2021 – City Hall and Video Conference at 5:30 p.m.
- April 12, 2021 – City Hall and Video Conference at 5:30 p.m.
- May 10, 2021 – City Hall and Video Conference at 5:30 p.m.
- June 14, 2021 – City Hall and Video Conference at 5:30 p.m.
- July 12, 2021 – City Hall and Video Conference at 5:30 p.m.
- August 9, 2021 – City Hall and Video Conference at 5:30 p.m.
- September 13, 2021 – City Hall and Video Conference at 5:30 p.m.
- October 11, 2021 – City Hall and Video Conference at 5:30 p.m.
- November 8, 2021 – City Hall and Video Conference at 5:30 p.m.
- December 13, 2021 – City Hall and Video Conference at 5:30 p.m.